arXiv:1704.01643v1 [math.CA] 5 Apr 2017

Extending means to several variables

Attila Losonczi

16 March 2017

Abstract

We begin the study of how to extend few variable means to several variable ones and how to shrink means of several variables to less variables. With the help of one of the techniques we show that it is enough to check an inequality between two quasi-arithmetic means in 2-variables and that simply implies the inequality in m-variables. The technique has some relation to Markov chains. This method can be applied to symmetrization and compounding means as well.

1 Introduction

In this paper we are going to study the ways of extensions of an n-variable mean to an m-variable mean ($n < m$) and vica versa shrinking an m-variable mean to an n-variable mean.

The origin of the problem was raised by M. Hajja in [6] Problem 14: is there a natural way of deriving the definition of the n-variable arithmetic mean from the definition of the 2-variable version. And what can one say in general? Can we define when an n-variable mean is concordant to an m-variable mean i.e. they are the different variable versions of the same mean (where "mean" in this last context is just a variableless generic notion).

[0]AMS (2010) Subject Classifications: 39B12, 39B22

Key Words and Phrases: generalized mean, iteration of mean, functional equation of mean

Can we go that far? We start to answer these questions by presenting both positive and negative results.

On basic facts on means the reader has to consult [4]. However we provide some basic definitions.

A n-variable mean K is called stricly internal if $\min(a_1, \ldots, a_n) < K(a_1, \ldots, a_n) < \max(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$. An n-variable mean K is said to be monotone if $a_i \leq b_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ implies $K(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \leq K(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$. K is called continuous if $a_k^{(i)} \to c^{(i)} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ then $K(a_k^{(1)}, \ldots, a_k^{(n)}) \to K(c^{(1)}, \ldots, c^{(n)})$ i.e. K is continuous as an n-variable function. K is symmetric if $K(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = K(a_{p(1)}, \ldots, a_{p(n)})$ for all permutations $p : \{1, \ldots n\} \to \{1, \ldots n\}$.

All means considered in this paper are symmetric, strictly internal, monotone and continuous if we do not say otherwise.

Sometimes we will denote a 2-variable mean by a $\circ$, so instead of $K(a, b)$ we will write $a \circ b$.

Definition 1 *A 2-variable mean $\circ$ is called round if it fulfils functional equation $(a \circ k) \circ (k \circ b) = k$ for $\forall a, b$ where $k = a \circ b$.*

2 Some basic observations

Unfortunately we cannot expect one generic, unique way to extend/shrink a mean such that it keeps concordance. E.g. let us consider the following three 3-variable means.

$K_1(a, b, c) = \sqrt{abc}$

$K_2(a, b, c) = \sqrt{\frac{ab+ac+bc}{3}}$

$K_3(a, b, c) = \frac{\sqrt{ab}+\sqrt{ac}+\sqrt{bc}}{3}$

For all 3 means we may expect $K(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ being the corresponding 2-variable mean. Hence when we extend K we cannot expect to get all three 3-varible means, or better to say there should be three extending methods at least. And in the opposite when we reduce the means K_1, K_2, K_3 to 2-variable means, we cannot expect one generic way.

3 Extensions

We define the most natural way of extensions. We start with the simpliest case and then gradually build the more complex ones.

3.1 Extending to 3-variables

Let a 2-variable mean $\circ$ be given and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a \leq b \leq c$. Let us define three sequences in the following way.

$a_0 = a, b_0 = b, c_0 = c$

$a_{n+1} = a_n \circ b_n, b_{n+1} = a_n \circ c_n, c_{n+1} = b_n \circ c_n$

Obviously $a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ since $\circ$ is monotone. Moreover a_n is increasing, c_n is decreasing and $a \leq a_n, c_n \leq c$, hence both sequences are convergent. In order to prove more we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1 *Let $\circ$ be a strictly internal, continuous mean and (a_n) be a convergent sequence with $a_n \to a$ and (b_n) be a bounded sequence. If $a_n \circ b_n \to a$ then $b_n \to a$.*

Proof: Let us suppose the opposite: there is a subsequence b_{n_k} of (b_n) converging to $b \neq a$ (say $a < b$). Then $a_{n_k} \circ b_{n_k} \to a \circ b$. By strict internality $a < a \circ b$ which is a contradiction. □

With a slighly more assumption we can prove more:

Lemma 2 *Let $\circ$ be a stricly monotone, continuous mean and (a_n) be a convergent sequence with $a_n \to a$ and (b_n) be a bounded sequence. If $a_n \circ b_n$ is convergent then b_n converges as well.*

Proof: Let us suppose the opposite: there are two subsequences b_{n_k}, b_{m_k} of (b_n) converging to $c_1 \neq c_2$ (say $c_1 < c_2$). Then $a_{n_k} \circ b_{n_k} \to a \circ c_1$ and $a_{m_k} \circ b_{m_k} \to a \circ c_2$. By strict monotonicity $a \circ c_1 < a \circ c_2$ which is a contradiction. □

Remark 1 *Strict monotonicity implies strict internality.*

Proposition 1 *For the sequences $(a_n), (b_n), (c_n)$ defined above, we get that all three sequences converge to the same limit.*

Proof: If $a_n \to \alpha$ then by Lemma 1 we get $b_n \to \alpha$. Similarly if $c_n \to \gamma$ then $c_{n+1} = b_n \circ c_n$ gives $\gamma = \alpha$. □

Definition 2 *If K is a 2-varible mean then for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ let us denote the common limit point of the three associated sequences by $K^{(3)}(a, b, c)$.*

Remark 2 *If n is fixed, a_n can be considered as a function of a, b, c. If K is continuous then by induction one can derive that $a_n(a, b, c)$ is continuous as well. The same is true for b_n, c_n too.*

Remark 3 *If n is fixed, a_n can be considered as a 3-variable mean of a, b, c. However we are not going to discuss such means because they are not natural enough.*

Theorem 1 *$K^{(3)}$ is strictly internal, monotone, continuous.*

Proof: $a < a_1$ and (a_n) being (strictly) increasing shows strict internality (for c similarly).

If $a \leq a', b \leq b', c \leq c'$ then clearly $a_n \leq a'_n, b_n \leq b'_n, c_n \leq c'_n (\forall n)$ therefore $\alpha \leq \alpha'(a_n \to \alpha, a'_n \to \alpha')$.

In order to prove that $K^{(3)}$ is continuous let $a \leq b \leq c$ be given and let $k = K^{(3)}(a, b, c)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ we find N such that $n > N$ implies that $k - \epsilon \leq a_n \leq k \leq c_n \leq k + \epsilon$. By Remark 2 $a_N(a, b, c)$, $c_N(a, b, c)$ are continuous functions of a, b, c hence there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $a' \in [a - \delta, a + \delta], b' \in [b - \delta, b + \delta], c' \in [c - \delta, c + \delta]$ then $k - \epsilon \leq a_N(a', b', c') \leq k \leq c_N(a', b', c') \leq k + \epsilon$ (the intervals are closed deliberately). If $n > N$ then $k - \epsilon \leq a_N(a - \delta, b - \delta, c - \delta) \leq a_n(a - \delta, b - \delta, c - \delta) \leq a_n(a', b', c') \leq c_n(a', b', c') \leq c_n(a + \delta, b + \delta, c + \delta) \leq c_N(a + \delta, b + \delta, c + \delta) \leq k + \epsilon$ where we used that $a_n(x, y, z)$ is increasing (x,y,z fixed) (c_n is decreasing). Hence we can conclude that $K^{(3)}$ is continuous. □

Proposition 2 *$K^{(3)}$ has the following properties:*

(1) $K^{(3)}(a, a, a) = a$

(2) $a \leq K(a, K(a, b)) \leq K^{(3)}(a, a, b) \leq K(a, b) \leq K(K(a, b), b) \leq K^{(3)}(a, b, b) \leq b$ (if K is strictly internal then "$\leq$" can be replaced by "$<$")

(3) $K^{(3)}(K(a, b), K(a, c), K(b, c)) = K^{(3)}(a, b, c)$

(4) If $a < b$ then $\exists x \in (a, b)$ such that $K^{(3)}(a, x, b) = x$.

(5) If $K^{(3)}(a, x, b) = x$ then $K^{(3)}(a, a, b) \leq x \leq K^{(3)}(a, b, b)$.

Proof: (1) $a_n = a (\forall n)$.

(2) The first inequality is obvious. For the second let us take the associated sequences for the 3-tuples a, a, b. We get $a_2 = K(a, K(a, b))$ and (a_n) being increasing gives the second inequality. For the third consider $c_1 = K(a, b)$ and c_n is decreasing. The rest are similar.

(3) $a_1 = K(a,b), b_1 = K(a,c), c_1 = K(b,c)$ and if we create the three sequences for a_1, b_1, c_1 then we end up with the same sequences than for a, b, c except that the indexes will be moved by 1. Hence $K^{(3)}(a_1, b_1, c_1) = K^{(3)}(a, b, c)$. Similarly we can get $K^{(3)}(a_n, b_n, c_n) = K^{(3)}(a, b, c) \forall n$.

(4) Let us define $f(x) = K^{(3)}(a, x, b) - x$. By Theorem 1 f is continuous. By (2) $f(a) \geq 0, f(b) \leq 0$ implies the existence of x such that $f(x) = 0$.

(5) By monotonity $K^{(3)}(a, a, b) \leq K^{(3)}(a, x, b) = x$. □

Proposition 3 *Let K be round (see Definition 1). Then $\forall a \leq b\ K^{(3)}(a, k, b) = k$ where $k = K(a, b)$.*

Proof: For the associated sequences $a_1 = K(a, k), b_1 = k, c_1 = K(k, b)$. By roundness we get $b_2 = k$. And $b_2 = K(a_1, c_1)$. If we apply this for a_1, k, c_1 we get $b_3 = k$. By induction $b_n = k\ \forall n$. □

Proposition 4 *If $\forall a \leq b\ K^{(3)}(a, x, b) = x$ implies $x = K(a, b)$ then K is round.*

Proof: Let $k = K(a, b)$. With the associated sequences for a, k, b obviously $b_1 = k$. By Proposition 2 (3) $K^{(3)}(a_1, b_1, c_1) = K^{(3)}(a, k, b) = k = b_1$. Because $b_2 = K(a_1, c_1)$ we have $K^{(3)}(a_1, b_2, c_1) = b_2$. By uniqueness $b_1 = b_2$ that is K being round. □

Proposition 5 *Let $\circ$ be a strictly monotone, round mean, $k = a \circ b$. If $(a \circ x) \circ (x \circ b) = k$ then $x = k$.*

Proof: Suppose $x < k$. Then $a \circ x < a \circ k$ and $x \circ b < k \circ b$ which implies that $k = (a \circ x) \circ (x \circ b) < (a \circ k) \circ (k \circ b) = k$ - a contradiction. □

Proposition 6 *If K_1, K_2 are two 2-variable means and $K_1(a, b) \leq K_2(a, b) (\forall a, b)$ then $K_1^{(3)}(a, b, c) \leq K_2^{(3)}(a, b, c) (\forall a, b, c)$.*

Proof: Obviously the associated sequences have the same property. □

Proposition 7 *K is a 2-variable quasi-arithmetic mean then $K^{(3)}$ is the associated 3-variable quasi-arithmetic mean.*

Proof: There is a sctricly monotone, continuous function f such that $K(a,b) = f^{-1}(\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2})$. By induction one can show that

$a_{2n} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n}+2}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n}})$.

$b_{2n} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n}+2}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n}})$.

$c_{2n} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n}-1}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n}+2}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n}})$.

$a_{2n+1} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n+1}})$.

$b_{2n+1} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n+1}})$.

$c_{2n+1} = f^{-1}(\frac{\frac{2^{2n+1}-2}{3}f(a)+\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(b)+\frac{2^{2n+1}+1}{3}f(c)}{2^{2n+1}})$.

Clearly $a_n \to f^{-1}(\frac{f(a)+f(b)+f(c)}{3})$ that is the associated 3-variable quasi-arithmetic mean. □

In Theorem 5 we will provide a more general proof for this theorem.

3.2 Extension from 2-variable to n-variable

It is easy to generalize the previous method for extending a mean to m variable ($m \geq 3$). Let a 2-variable mean $\circ$ be given and $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a^{(i)} \leq a^{(j)}$ $(i < j)$. Let us define m sequences in the following way.

$a_0^{(i)} = a^{(i)}$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$

$a_{n+1}^{(1)} = a_n^{(1)} \circ a_n^{(2)}$.

$a_{n+1}^{(k)} = a_n^{(k-1)} \circ a_n^{(k+1)}$ $(2 \leq k \leq m-1)$.

$a_{n+1}^{(m)} = a_n^{(m-1)} \circ a_n^{(m)}$.

Obviously $a_n^{(i)} \leq a_n^{(j)}$ $(i < j)$ since $\circ$ is monotone. Moreover $a_n^{(1)}$ is increasing, $a_n^{(m)}$ is decreasing and $a^{(1)} \leq a_n^{(1)} \leq a_n^{(m)} \leq a^{(m)}$, hence both sequences are convergent.

We can prove all similar statements for m varible than we had for 3. We enumerate those theorems mostly without proof as the proofs can be copied from the 3-variable case. E.g. with the help of Lemma 1 one can show:

Proposition 8 *For the sequences $(a_n^{(i)})$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$ defined above, we get that all m sequences converge to the same limit.* □

Definition 3 *If K is a 2-varible mean then for $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}$ let us denote the common limit point of the m associated sequences by $K^{(m)}(a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)})$.*

Proposition 9 *$K^{(m)}$ is strictly internal, monotone, continuous.* □

Proposition 10 *If K_1, K_2 are two 2-variable means and $K_1(a,b) \leq K_2(a,b)(\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R})$ then $K_1^{(m)}(a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)}) \leq K_2^{(m)}(a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)})$ $(\forall a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)})$.* □

We will prove the following proposition later in Theorem 5 in a more generic context. However one can also generalize the way in Proposition 7.

Proposition 11 *K is a 2-variable quasi-arithmetic mean then $K^{(m)}$ is the associated m-variable quasi-arithmetic mean.*

Remark 4 *Analysing this extension method one might think that why do not we try to make all 2-means between all pairs of the points $a^{(1)} \ldots, , a^{(m)}$. Unfortunately that would not work as a simple case $a^{(1)} = a^{(2)} = a,\ a^{(3)} = a^{(4)} = b$ shows: the (first and last) sequences do not converge to the same limit (another problem is that the number of sequences would tend to infinity).*

3.3 Extension from n-variable to m-variable

We are going to follow similar way of extension that we have already shown previously for simpler cases.

We are going to descibe the method when there are m points and we create m sequences from them in a way that a new element of a sequence is based on the n-mean of the previous step sequence elements and always from the same ones.

In order to describe such generic method we need some definitions first.

Definition 4 $I_m = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ $(m \in \mathbb{N})$.
$I_m^n = \{(j_1, \ldots, j_n) : j_i \in I_m\}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}, n < m)$.
A partial order on I_m^n is defined by $(j_1, \ldots, j_n) \leq (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \iff j_1 \leq k_1, \ldots, j_n \leq k_n$.

Let a n-variable mean K be given and $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a^{(i)} \leq a^{(j)}$ $(i < j)$. If we have m defining sequences, how can we decribe such defining relations? We have definitions like this:
$a_0^{(1)} = a^{(1)}, \ldots, a_0^{(m)} = a^{(m)}$.
$a_{k+1}^{(i)} = K(a_k^{(j_{i,1})}, \ldots, a_k^{(j_{i,n})})$ $(1 \leq i \leq m, k \in \mathbb{N})$ where $j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n} \in I_m$ depend on i only.

So we can associate a $t_i \in I_m^n \ \forall i$ to that, namely $t_i = (j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n})$. And conversely if we have a $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ ($t_i \in I_m^n \ \forall i$) then we can create sequences with the help of it: $a_{k+1}^{(i)}(T) = K(a_k^{(j_{i,1})}, \ldots, a_k^{(j_{i,n})})$ where $t_i = (j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n})$.

Therefore such associated sequences can be definied by a system $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ where $t_i \in I_m^n$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$.

We also prefer the following four properties of T:

(1) $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \cdots \leq t_m$

(2) $\forall k \in I_m, \ |\{i : k \in t_i\}| = n$

(3) $\forall i \ \min t_i \leq i \leq \max t_i$; if $2 \leq i \leq m-1$ then $\min t_i < i < \max t_i$

(4) $\forall i \geq 2 \ \exists j < i$ such that $i \in t_j$.

Definition 5 *$T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_m\}$ is called admissible if it satisfies (1),(2),(3),(4).*

Theorem 2 *If T is admissible then all sequences converges to the same limit.*

Proof: Obvious consequence is that $1 \in t_1, m \in t_m$ and (1) implies that if $i \leq j$ then $a_k^{(i)} \leq a_k^{(j)} \ \forall k$.

$a^{(1)} \leq a_k^{(1)} \leq a_k^{(2)} \cdots \leq a_k^{(m)} \leq a^{(m)} \ \forall k$ and $a_k^{(1)}$ is inceasing and $a_k^{(m)}$ is decreasing hence both converges. We show that all converges to the same limit. Let $a_k^{(1)} \to c$. Suppose there is $i \geq 2$ such that $(a_k^{(i)})$ does not converge to c. Let i denote the least such index. Then by (4) there is $j < i$ such that $i \in t_j = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$. All sequences $(a_k^{(p)})$ are bounded ($p \in t_j$) hence we can find a subsequence of (k) say (k_q) such that all sequences $(a_{k_q}^{(p)})$ are converges, say $(a_{k_q}^{(p)}) \to w_p$. Let us choose (k_q) such that $(a_{k_q}^{(i)}) \to w_i \neq c$. By assumption $(a_{k_q}^{(j)}) \to c$. By definition $(a_{k+1}^{(j)}) = K(a_k^{(u_1)}, \ldots, a_k^{(u_n)})$. K being stricly internal gives that $c < K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n})$. Let $\epsilon = \frac{K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n}) - c}{2}$. K is continuous therefore there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $w'_{u_r} \in (w_{u_r} - \delta, w_{u_r} + \delta)$ $(1 \leq r \leq n)$ implies that $K(w'_{u_1}, \ldots, w'_{u_n}) \in (K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n}) - \epsilon, K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n}) + \epsilon)$. There is $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \geq N$ implies $a_k^{(j)} \in (c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon)$ and $q \geq N$ implies $a_{k_q}^{(p)} \in (w_{u_r} - \delta, w_{u_r} + \delta)$ $(1 \leq r \leq n)$. We get $a_{k_N+1}^{(j)} = K(a_{k_N}^{(u_1)}, \ldots, a_{k_N}^{(u_n)}) \in (K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n}) - \epsilon, K(w_{u_1}, \ldots, w_{u_n}) + \epsilon)$ and $\in (c - \epsilon, c + \epsilon)$ at the same time which is a contradiction. $\square$

Definition 6 *If we can construct an admissible $T = T_{n,m}$ from n-variable to m-variable $(n < m)$ then the associated sequences will have all*

properties to define the extension of the n-varible mean K to a m-variable mean. Let us denote it by $K^{(T)}$.

Theorem 3 *If T is admissible then $K^{(T)}$ will be strictly internal, monotone, continuous.*

Proof: The proof of Theorem 1 can be copied for n points (instead of 3) where we use the corresponding version of Remark 2 for n points. □

We now show a way to construct such T. We go by recursion. In 3.2 we had such T from 2-variables to m-variables, namely

$t_1 = (1, 2), t_k = (k-1, k+1)$ $(2 \leq k \leq m-1), t_m = (m-1, m)$. Obviously it has properties (1),(2),(3),(4).

Let us suppose we have such $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_{m-1}\}$ for extension from $(n-1)$-variables to $(m-1)$-variables and we construct T' for n to m. Let $t_i = (j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n-1})$ $(\forall i)$. Let us define $t'_i \in T'$ $(i \in I_m)$

$$t'_i = \begin{cases} (1, j_{i,1}+1, \ldots, j_{i,n-1}+1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (i-(n-1), j_{i,1}+1, \ldots, j_{i,n-1}+1) & \text{if } n \leq i \leq m-1 \\ (m-n+1, m-n+2, \ldots, m) & \text{if } i = m \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4 *T' is admissible.*

Proof: Obviously $t'_i \in I_m^n$ $(\forall i)$.

In the definition of t'_i let us call the elements of I_m^n in the first line type 1, second line type 2, third line type 3 elements.

(1): If T satisfies (1) then so does T' using also that t'_m is the greatest element in I_m^n.

(2): If $i = 1$ it is clear.

If $2 \leq i \leq m-n$ then we know that $|\{h : i-1 \in t_h \in T\}| = n-1$. Take the type 2 element that starts with i. With that element we get $|\{h : i \in t'_h \in T'\}| = n$.

If $m-n+1 \leq i$ then the type 3 element will provide the missing point.

(3): It is easy to check for type 1, 2 and 3 elements.

(4): If $i = 2$ then t'_1 will satisfies the condition. If $3 \leq i \leq m$ is given, we know that there is $j \in I_{m-1}, j < i-1$ such that $(i-1) \in t_j$. Hence $i \in t'_j$. □

The following theorem gives that the n-variable quasi-arithmetic means are concordant in this way.

Theorem 5 *For a quasi-arithmetic n-variable mean K this extension give the expected result i.e.* $K^{(T_{n,m})}$ *will be the associated m-variable quasi-arithmetic mean.*

Proof: There is a sctricly monotone, continuous function f such that $K(a^{(1)},\dots,a^{(n)}) = f^{-1}(\frac{f(a^{(1)})+\dots+f(a^{(n)})}{n})$. By induction one can prove that $a_k^{(i)} = f^{-1}(s_1^{i,k}f(a^{(1)})+\dots+s_n^{i,k}f(a^{(n)}))$ and in the bracket there is a weighted average of $f(a^{(1)}),\dots,f(a^{(n)})$ (in other words $s_1^{i,k}+\dots+s_n^{i,k}=1$). The first question is how can one determine those factors. The second is what are their limit. Our aim is to prove that $\lim_{k\to\infty} s_j^{i,k} \to \frac{1}{m}$ $(\forall i \forall j)$ that would prove the theorem completely.

Let us start with the first question. Let us define an $m \times m$ matrix M:

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } j \in t_i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

One can easily show by induction that $s_j^{i,k} = (M^k)_{i,j}$.

Now we handle the second question that is the limit. In the theory of Markov chains there is a theorem that states that for an irreducible, aperiodic, positive recurrent and doubly stohastic Markov chain with m states it holds that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(X(k)=s) = \frac{1}{m}$ where X is the associated probability variable, $X(k)$ denotes the state that X has in the k^{th} step and s is any state.

And that is exactly that we need. Let us show that M has all required properties:

By (2) M is doubly stohastic.

M is irreducible since there is only one communication class because state "1" and state "j" communicate $(\forall j > 1)$ by (4).

Aperiodic: $p_{11}^{(1)} > 0$ hence for state "1" the period is 1 and all states in a communication class have the same period.

Positive recurrent: An irreducible finite-state Markov chain is always positive recurrent. □

We can also answer one of the questions of Hajja, namely: is there a natural way to derive the n-variable arithmetic mean from the 2-variable arithmetic mean? Our method just provides that (use Theorem 5 with $f(x) = x$).

Remark 5 *Analysing this extension method property (2) cannot be abandoned if we want to keep Theorem 5 valid as a simple 4-variable case would show.*

Proposition 12 *If K_1, K_2 are two n-variable means and $K_1 \leq K_2$ then $K_1^{(T_{n,m})} \leq K_2^{(T_{n,m})}$.* □

Now we can formulate one of our main results namely that an inequality between quasi-arithmetic means is enough to check in 2 variables only.

Theorem 6 *If K_1, K_2 are n-variable quasi-arithmetic means and $K_1 \leq K_2$ holds in n variables then $K_1^{(m)} \leq K_2^{(m)}$ holds as well where $K_i^{(m)}$ denotes the associated m-variable quasi-arithmetic mean ($n < m$).*

Proof: Theorem 5 and Proposition 12. □

We state a theorem regarding equivalent means. We recall the classic definition.

Definition 7 *Two means K and L are equivalent if there is a homeomorphism f of $\mathbb{R}$ (or between the domains of K, L) such that $L = K_f$ where $K_f(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = f^{-1}(K(f(a_1), \ldots, f(a_n)))$.*

Theorem 7 *Let T be an admissible system for $n < m$. Let two n-variable means K, L are equivalent by function f. Then $K^{(T)}, L^{(T)}$ are equivalent means as well and the same function f shows that.*

Proof: Let $a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)} \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Let us create the associated sequences to L.

$a_0^{(1)} = a^{(1)}, \ldots, a_0^{(m)} = a^{(m)}$.

$a_{k+1}^{(i)} = f^{-1}(K(f(a_k^{(j_{i,1})}), \ldots, f(a_k^{(j_{i,n})})))$ $(1 \leq i \leq m, k \in \mathbb{N})$ where $j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n} \in I_m$ depend on i only.

Let us investigate these sequences: $(b^{(i)})$ where

$b_0^{(1)} = f(a^{(1)}), \ldots, b_0^{(m)} = f(a^{(m)})$,

$b_k^{(i)} = f(a_k^{(i)})$ $(1 \leq i \leq m)$.

If we run the same process for K and $b_0^{(1)}, \ldots, b_0^{(m)}$ then we end up with $K^{(T)}(b_0^{(1)}, \ldots, b_0^{(m)})$ that equals to $K^{(T)}(f(a^{(1)}), \ldots, f(a^{(m)}))$. I.e. $\lim_{k\to\infty} f(a_k^{(i)}) = K^{(T)}(f(a^{(1)}), \ldots, f(a^{(m)}))$ or $\lim_{k\to\infty} a_k^{(i)} = f^{-1}(K^{(T)}(f(a^{(1)}), \ldots, f(a^{(m)})))$ but this limit gives $L^{(T)}(a^{(1)}, \ldots, a^{(m)})$ as well. □

4 Shrinking

We descibe a generic way of reducing the number of variables of a mean that is similar the technique that we had in the previous section.

Let K be a stricly internal, monotone, continuous m-variable mean. Let $n < m$ and $a^{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq a^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. We create sequences in the following way:

$a_0^{(1)} = a^{(1)}, \ldots, a_0^{(n)} = a^{(n)}$.

$a_{k+1}^{(i)} = K(a_k^{(1)}, \ldots, a_k^{(i-1)}, a_k^{(i)}, \ldots, a_k^{(i)}, a_k^{(i+1)}, \ldots, a_k^{(n)})$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ where in the middle there are $(m - n + 1)$ pieces of $a_k^{(i)}$.

So the associated defining system $T = T_{m,n}$ is the following: $T = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n\}$ where $t_i \in I_n^m$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ namely $t_i = (1, \ldots, i-1, i, \ldots, i, i+1, \ldots, n)$.

For these we can prove all usual things:

Proposition 13 *T is admissible.* □

Corollary 1 *$a^{(1)} \leq a_k^{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq a_k^{(n)} \leq a^{(n)}$, $(a_k^{(1)})$ converges and all other sequences converge to the same limit.* □

Definition 8 *Let us denote the common limit point by $K^{(T)}$.*

Corollary 2 *$K^{(T)}$ is stricly internal, monotone, continuous n-variable mean.* □

Theorem 8 *For a quasi-arithmetic m-mean K this shrinking gives that $K^{(T_{m,n})}$ will be the associated n-variable quasi-arithmetic n-mean $(n < m)$.*

Proof: We follow the proof of Theorem 5 i.e. we use the theory of Markov chains.

With the mean defining function f we get

$a_k^{(i)} = f^{-1}(s_1^{i,k} f(a^{(1)}) + \cdots + s_n^{i,k} f(a^{(n)}))$.

In this case the associated stohastic $n \times n$ matrix M is

$$M_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{m} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \frac{m-n+1}{m} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}.$$

For the weights we get $s_j^{i,k} = (M^k)_{i,j}$ as in Theorem 5.

For M it can be shown similarly that it is irreducible, aperiodic, positive recurrent and doubly stohastic hence provides a uniform limit distribution i.e. $\lim_{k\to\infty} s_j^{i,k} = \frac{1}{n}$. □

We can formulate a similar statement to Theorem 6.

Theorem 9 *If K_1, K_2 are m-variable quasi-arithmetic means and $K_1 \leq K_2$ holds in m variables then $K_1 \leq K_2$ holds in n variables as well $(n < m)$.* □

We just formulate the corresponding theorem on shrinking of equivalent means since the proof is the same (see Theorem 7).

Theorem 10 *Let two m-variable means K, L are equivalent by function f. Then $K^{(T_{m,n})}, L^{(T_{m,n})}$ are equivalent means as well and the same function f shows that $(n < m)$.* □

For shrinking means there are many other ways as well, we provide two more.

Proposition 14 *Let K be a n-variable strictly internal and continuous mean $(n \geq 3)$. If $a < b$ are given then there exists $x \in (a, b)$ such that $K(a, x, \ldots, x, b) = x$.*

Proof: We can follow the proof of Proposition 2 for $f(x) = K(a, x, \ldots, x, b) - x$ where we only used the mentioned two properties of the mean. □

Definition 9 *If K is a n-variable strictly internal and continuous mean, $a < b$ then let $K^{(s_1)}(a, b) = \inf\{x : K(a, x, \ldots, x, b) = x\}$.*

Remark 6 *Actually the infimum is a minimum because of continuity of K.*

Proposition 15 *The definition of $K^{(s_1)}$ provides a strictly internal, lower semi continuous mean.*

Proof: Let $a_n \to a,\ b_n \to b,\ K^{(s_1)}(a_n, b_n) = l_n$. If $l_n \to l$ then $K(a_n, l_n, \ldots, l_n, b_n) \to K(a, l, \ldots, l, b)$ implies that $K(a, l, \ldots, l, b) = l$ that gives $K^{(s_1)}(a, b) \leq l$. □

Proposition 16 *Suppose K is a 2-variable, round mean. Let $k = K(a, b)$. Then $K^{(T_{2,4})}(a, k, k, b) = k$.*

Proof: By the definition of the usual sequences $(a_0 = a, b_0 = c_0 = k, d_0 = b)$ we get that $a_1 = b_1 = K(a, k), c_1 = d_1 = K(k, b)$ and $a_2 = K(a, k), b_2 = c_2 = k, d_2 = K(k, b)$ by roundness. From this point we can go by induction and get that $b_{2n} = k\ \forall n$ hence $b_n \to k$. □

Proposition 17 *If K is a n-variable quasi-arithmetic mean then $K^{(s_1)}$ is the corresponding 2-variable quasi-arithmetic mean.* □

We provide one more way of shrinking.

Proposition 18 *(1) If $a < b$ are given, K is a 2n-variable strictly internal, monotone and continuous mean then $K^{(s_2)}(a,b) = K(a,\ldots,a,b,\ldots,b)$ is strictly internal, monotone, continuous where there are n pieces of a and n-pieces of b inside.*

(2) Similarly $K^{(s_2)}(a^{(1)},\ldots,a^{(n)}) = K(a^{(1)},\ldots,a^{(n)},a^{(1)},\ldots,a^{(n)})$ is strictly internal, monotone, continuous. □

Proposition 19 *Suppose K is a 2-variable, round mean. If we construct $K^{(T_{2,4})}$ as in Subsection 3.2 then $K^{(T_{2,4})}(a,a,b,b) = K(a,b)$.*

Proof: Let $k = K(a,b)$. By the definition of the usual sequences ($a_0 = b_0 = a, c_0 = d_0 = b$) we get that $a_1 = a, b_1 = c_1 = k, d_1 = b$ and $a_2 = b_2 = K(a,k), c_2 = d_2 = K(k,b)$. By roundness we have $c_3 = d_3 = k$. From this point we can go by induction and get that $c_{2n+1} = k\ \forall n$ hence $c_n \to k$. □

Proposition 20 *If K is a 2n-variable quasi-arithmetic mean then $K^{(s_2)}$ is the corresponding 2-variable quasi-arithmetic mean.* □

We close this section with some counterexamples.

Example 1 *Let $K(a,b,c,d) = \sqrt{\frac{ab+ac+bd+cd}{4}}$. Then K is strictly internal, monotone and continuous. We may expect that the corresponding 2 -variable mean would be $\sqrt{ab}$. Let us see what our three methods gives.*

(1) $K(a,a,b,b) = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

(2) $K(a,x,x,b) = x$ implies that $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$.

(3) When we use the general shrinking method $K^{(T_{4,2})}$ for e.g. $a = 1, b = 3$ then we get $b_4 < 2 = \frac{a+b}{2}$ hence the limit will be less than $\frac{a+b}{2}$ because (b_n) is decreasing. (We conjecture that it gives something between the geometric and arithmetic mean.)

Example 2 *Let $K(a,b,c) = \sqrt{\frac{ab+ac+bc}{3}}$. Then K is strictly internal, monotone and continuous. Let us see what our applicable two methods gives.*

(1) $K(a,x,b) = x$ implies that $x = \frac{a+b+\sqrt{(a+b)^2+12ab}}{6}$.

(2) When we use the general shrinking method $K^{(T_{3,2})}$ it gives a different result, for e.g. $a = 0.1, b = 2$ then we get $b_3 < 0.781$ hence the limit will be less than that because (b_n) is decreasing. And for the same values the method in (1) gives approx. 0.784.

Example 3 *Let $L(a,b,c) = \frac{\min\{a,b,c\}+\max\{a,b,c\}}{2}$ or simply $L(a,b,c) = \frac{a+c}{2}$ if $a \leq b \leq c$. Then there does not exist a 2-variable strictly internal, monotone, continuous mean such that $L = K^{(T_{2,3})}$ because $K^{(T_{2,3})}(a,a,b) < K^{(T_{2,3})}(a,b,b)$ $(a < b)$ which obviously does not hold for L. Clearly $L^{(T_{3,2})}(a,c) = \frac{a+c}{2}$ and then $(L^{(T_{3,2})})^{(T_{2,3})} \neq L$.*

5 On copounding

We can simply generalize our extension method from n-variable to m-variable by interchanging K to m pieces of means $K_1 \leq \cdots \leq K_m$ i.e. the defining sequences would be:

$a_0^{(1)} = a^{(1)}, \ldots, a_0^{(m)} = a^{(m)}$

$a_{k+1}^{(i)} = K_i(a_k^{(j_{i,1})}, \ldots, a_k^{(j_{i,n})})$ $(1 \leq i \leq m, k \in \mathbb{N})$ where $j_{i,1}, \ldots, j_{i,n} \in I_m$ depend on i only.

In the usual way one can prove the existence of a common limit, therefore we can derive a new kind of mean of points $a^{(1)} \leq \cdots \leq a^{(m)}$ and means $K_1 \leq \cdots \leq K_m$.

If $n = m$ and $j_{i,h} = h$ $\forall i$ then it is a generalization of compounding of two means.

6 Symmetrization

Using similar technique we can symmetrize a non-symmetric 2-variable mean. Let $\circ$ be a non-symmetric, strictly internal, monotone, continuous mean. Let $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ be given. Let us define two sequences:

$a_0 = a, b_0 = b$.

$a_{n+1} = \min\{a_n \circ b_n, b_n \circ a_n\}, b_{n+1} = \max\{a_n \circ b_n, b_n \circ a_n\}$.

Obviously $a \leq a_n$ is increasing while $b_n \leq b$ is decreasing, therefore both converges. By continuity they must converge to the same limit point. Let us denote it by $K^{(sym)}(a,b)$.

Proposition 21 *$K^{(sym)}$ is symmetric.*

Proof: The associated sequences for (a, b) and (b, a) are the same. $\square$

References

[1] R. Abu-Saris, M. Hajja, *On Gauss compounding of symmetric weighted arithmetic means*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications *322* (2006), 729–734.

[2] J. Aczél, *On mean values*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. *54* (1948), 392–400.

[3] J. M. Borwein, P. B. Borwein, *The way of all means*, Amer. Math. Monthly *94* (1987), 519-522.

[4] P. S. Bullen, *Handbook of means and their inequalities*, vol. 260 Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht, The Netherlands (2003).

[5] Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles, *On functional equations involving means*, Publ. Math. Debrecen *62* no. 3–4 (2003), 363–377.

[6] M. Hajja, *Some elementary aspects of means*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Means and Their Inequalities, Volume 2013, Article ID 698906, 1–9.

[7] Z. Makó, Zs. Páles, *The invariance of the arithmetic mean with respect to generalized quasi-arithmetic means*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications *353 Issue 1* (2009), 8–23.

[8] Z. Makó, Zs. Páles, *On the equality of generalized quasi-arithmetic means*, Publ. Math. Debrecen *72/3-4* (2008), 407–440.

Attila Losonczi,
Hungary 2120 Dunakeszi Bojtorjan u. 3/1

E-mail: alosonczi1@gmail.com